Source-based Code Coverage for Embedded Use Cases

Alan Phipps, Texas Instruments Cody Addison, Nvidia

2020 LLVM Developers' Meeting, October 2020



What is Code Coverage?

- A measurement for how thoroughly code has been executed during testing
 - All sections of code have an associated test
 - Un-executed code may be at higher risk of having lurking bugs

Line	Count	Source (jump to first uncovered line)
9	2	<pre>bool foo (int x, int y) {</pre>
10	2	if $((x > 0) \& (y > 0))$
		Branch (<u>10:7</u>): [True: 1, False: 1] Branch (<u>10:18</u>): [True: 0, False: 1]
11	8	return true;
12	2	
13	2	return false;
14	2	}

Coverage Report

Created: 2020-09-02 17:42

Click here for information about interpreting this report.

Filename	Function Coverage	Line Coverage	Region Coverage	Branch Coverage
<pre>scratch/aphipps/llvmtest/cov/demo/brdemo.cc</pre>	100.00% (2/2)	96.15% (25/26)	90.00% (9/10)	83.33% (5/6)
Totals	100.00% (2/2)	96.15% (25/26)	90.00% (9/10)	83.33% (5/6)

Generated by Ilvm-cov -- Ilvm version 12.0.0git



The Challenge

- Embedded devices usually have tight memory requirements
- LLVM Source-based Code Coverage has large size requirements
 - Additional instructions added to instrument your code
 - Additional runtime code to control profile data merging
 - This includes counter merging and profile data input and output
 - Additional data sections to track counters and coverage data
- There ARE things we can do to reduce the size!





1. Must all data sections be in memory?

• No!

- Code Coverage relies on several data sections:
 - __llvm_prf_cnts : Profile counters, incremented at runtime

 - __llvm_prf_data : Profile Data
 - __llvm_prf_names : Profile Function names

These sections may comprise 80%-90% of the data but *do not* require runtime modification



1. Must all data sections be in memory?

• No!

- Code Coverage relies on several data sections:
 - __llvm_prf_cnts : Profile counters, incremented at runtime
 - __llvm_covfun : Coverage Function Records

 - __llvm_prf_data : Profile Data
 - __llvm_prf_names : Profile Function names

Move these sections *out of memory*, preserved in object file

- Modify llvm-profdata to accept an object file argument
 - Move it off-line: Combine its data with downloaded profile counters, producing an indexed profile data file



2. Can we reduce runtime support?

- · We just moved most processing of raw profile data off-line
- Runtime features are included that are *unnecessary* for embedded platforms
 - 1. Runtime counter merging
 - 2. Use of environment variable to control where output goes
 - 3. Indexed profile writing output
 - 4. Buffering data for writing output
 - 5. Reading data input in for profile-guided optimization (PGO)
- How big is libclang_rt.profile.a? 100kb for Armv7m!

compiler-rt/lib/profile/CMakeLists.txt: set(PROFILE_SOURCES GCDAProfiling.c InstrProfiling.c InstrProfilingInternal.c InstrProfilingValue.c InstrProfilingBiasVar.c InstrProfilingBuffer.c InstrProfilingFile.c InstrProfilingMerge.c InstrProfilingMergeFile.c InstrProfilingNameVar.c InstrProfilingVersionVar.c InstrProfilingWriter.c InstrProfilingPlatformDarwin.c InstrProfilingPlatformFuchsia.c InstrProfilingPlatformLinux.c InstrProfilingPlatformOther.c InstrProfilingPlatformWindows.c InstrProfilingRuntime.cpp InstrProfilingUtil.c



2. Can we reduce runtime support?

- · We just moved most processing of raw profile data off-line
- Runtime features are included that are *unnecessary* for embedded platforms
 - 1. Runtime counter merging
 - 2. Use of environment variable to control where output goes
 - 3. Indexed profile writing output
 - 4. Buffering data for writing output
 - 5. Reading data input in for profile-guided optimization (PGO)
- How big is libclang_rt.profile.a? 100kb for Armv7m!
- If we only support for basic writing of counters and remove everything else → 4kb for Armv7m!





3. What about counter size?

- Remember.... we made __llvm_prf_cnts the only coverage data section in memory
 - But this is comprised of counters that are 64bits in size

cnt0	cnt1	cnt2	cnt3
0	64	128	192

- Embedded applications can get away with smaller counter sizes
- Reduce the counter size to 32bits 50% reduction in size!

	cnt0	cnt1	cnt2	cnt3	cnt4	cnt5	cnt6	cnt7
0.		32	64	96	128	160	192	224

- Even better: make counter size configurable to any reasonable size (16bits, 8bits)
 - Use saturating addition to prevent against overflow on small counter sizes

Thank you!

