

An experimental framework for Pragma handling in Clang

Simone Pellegrini (spellegrini@dps.uibk.ac.at)

University of Innsbruck – Institut für Informatik

Euro-LLVM Meeting, 2013



Background



This work has been done as part of the *Insieme Compiler* (www.insieme-compiler.org)

- A Source-to-Source compiler infrastructure
- Uses LLVM/Clang as a frontend, but relies on its own IR (*INSPIRE*)
- Targets HPC and research issues of parallel paradigms, i.e. OpenMP/MPI/OpenCL
- Developed by the University of Innsbruck¹

¹Funded by FWF Austrian Science Fund and by the Austrian Research Promotion Agency.

Motivation & Goal

Pragma Directives

*“The **#pragma** directive is the method specified by the C standard for providing additional information to the compiler, beyond what is conveyed in the language itself.”*

```
#pragma omp parallel for num_threads(x-2) (i)
for(unsigned i=0; i<1000; ++i) {
    do_embarrassingly_parallel_work();
    #pragma omp barrier (ii)
}
```

Their **actions** are either associated with the following statement/declaration (i) or the position (ii).

Motivation

- Researchers **love** defining new #pragmas to augment compiler's knowledge

Compiler Extensions: *Intel Compiler, Microsoft Visual Studio, PGI, GCC, etc. . .*

Programming paradigms: *OpenMP, OpenACC, StarSS, etc. . .*

- Clang makes it **very difficult!**

Pragma Handling in Clang

Clang provides an interface to *react* to new #pragmas

```
class PragmaHandler {
    virtual void HandlePragma(
        Preprocessor &PP,
        PragmaIntroducerKind Introducer,
        Token &FirstToken)=0;
};

// Hierarchical pragmas can be defined with
class PragmaNamespace : PragmaHandler {
    void AddPragma (PragmaHandler *Handler);
};
```

#pragma unused(id(,id)*)

```
Token Tok;
PP.Lex(Tok);
if (Tok.isNot(tok::l_paren))
    throw ...; // error, expected '('

bool LexID = true; // expected 'identifier' next
while(true) {
    PP.Lex(Tok); // consumes next token

    if(LexID) {
        if (Tok.is(tok::identifier)) {
            // save the id for sema checks
            Lex = false;
            continue;
        }
        throw ...; // error, expected 'identifier'
    }
}
```

#pragma unused(id(,id)*)

```
if (Tok.is(tok::comma)) {  
    LexID = true; // expected 'identifier' next  
    continue;  
}  
  
if (Tok.is(tok::r_paren))  
    break; // success  
  
throw ...; // error, illegal token  
}
```

Next... semantic checks.

clang::Sema

- Once gathered the information
 - => `Sema.ActOnPragmaUnused(...)`
 - ▶ Check semantics (access to the `clang::Parser` and context)
 - ▶ Bind pragmas to stmts/decls
 - ▶ Store/Apply pragma semantics

clang::Sema

- Once gathered the information
 - => `Sema.ActOnPragmaUnused(...)`
 - ▶ Check semantics (access to the `clang::Parser` and context)
 - ▶ Bind pragmas to stmts/decls
 - ▶ Store/Apply pragma semantics
- **Very little is automated!**

Not #pragma friendly!

Defining new pragmas in Clang is **cumbersome**:

- User has to directly interface with the *lexer* and *preprocessor*
- New pragmas cannot be defined without modifying **core** data structures (e.g. `clang::Sema`)
 - ▶ Use of patches (updated every new LLVM release)
 - ▶ Difficult to implement pragmas as Clang *extensions* (e.g. *LibTooling* interface)
- Most of the code can be **factorized**!

Features of a pragma framework

1. Adding a new pragma possible **without touching** core classes
2. Pragma syntax defined in a **declarative form**
 - ▶ **Automatic** syntactic *checks* and *generation* of error messages with completion hints
 - ▶ **Easy access** to *useful* information
3. **Mapping** of pragmas to associated statements/declarations

Pragma Definition

Pragma definition (1/2)

Declarative form², similar to EBNF

```
#pragma unused( identifier (, identifier)* )
```

²Inspired by the Boost::Spirit parser

Pragma definition (1/2)

Declarative form², similar to EBNF

```
#pragma unused( identifier (, identifier)* )
```

```
#pragma kwd('unused')
```

²Inspired by the Boost::Spirit parser

Pragma definition (1/2)

Declarative form², similar to EBNF

```
#pragma unused( identifier (, identifier)* )
```

```
#pragma kwd('unused')  
  .followedBy( tok::l_paren )  
  .followedBy( tok::identifier )  
  .followedBy(
```

²Inspired by the Boost::Spirit parser

Pragma definition (1/2)

Declarative form², similar to EBNF

```
#pragma unused( identifier (, identifier)* )  
#pragma kwd('unused')  
  .followedBy( tok::l_paren )  
  .followedBy( tok::identifier )  
  .followedBy(  
    .repeat<0, inf>(   
      ( tok::comma )  
      .followedBy( tok::identifier )  
    )  
  )
```

²Inspired by the Boost::Spirit parser

Pragma definition (1/2)

Declarative form², similar to EBNF

```
#pragma unused( identifier (, identifier)* )
#pragma kwd('unused')
    .followedBy( tok::l_paren )
    .followedBy( tok::identifier )
    .followedBy(
        .repeat<0, inf>(
            ( tok::comma )
                .followedBy( tok::identifier )
            )
    ).followedBy( tok::r_paren )
    .followedBy( tok::eod )
```

²Inspired by the Boost::Spirit parser

Pragma definition (2/2)

Use convenience operators (because C++ is awesome):

`a.followedBy(b)` => `a » b` (*binary*)

`repeat<0,inf>(a)` => `*a` (*unary*)

Pragma definition (2/2)

Use convenience operators (because C++ is awesome):

`a.followedBy(b)` => `a » b` (*binary*)

`repeat<0,inf>(a)` => `*a` (*unary*)

```
#pragma kwd('unused')
>> tok::l_paren
    >> tok::identifier
        >> *( tok::comma >> tok::identifier )
>> tok::r_paren >> tok::eod
```

Other operators

Given a position (\bullet) within a stream: $t_{-1}, t_0 \bullet t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots$

$a \gg b$: 'concatenation', matches iff $t_1 = a$ and $t_2 = b$

$a \mid b$: 'choice', matches if either $t_1 = a$ or $t_2 = b$

$!a$: 'option', matches if $t_1 = a$ or ϵ (empty rule)

$*a$: 'repetition', matches if $t_1 = \dots = t_N = a$ or ϵ

- Expressions can be *combined*
- Brackets () can be used to control *associativity* and *priority*

Tokens (1/2)

Leaf elements used within pragma specifications:

```
template<clang::tok::TokenKind T>
struct Tok : public node { ... };
```

Import Tokens defined within the Clang lexer:


```
#define PUNCTUATOR(N, _) \
    static Tok<clang::tok::N> N = Tok<clang::tok::N>();
#define TOK(N) \
    static Tok<clang::tok::N> N = Tok<clang::tok::N>();
#include <clang/Basic/TokenKinds.def>
#undef PUNCTUATOR
#undef TOK
```

Tokens (2/2)

Special “*semantic tokens*” (syntax + sema)

- kwd**: 1 token defining *new keywords* for the DSL supporting the pragma (e.g. `num_threads`)
- var**: 1 token which is a valid *identifier* (i.e. `tok::identifier`) and declared as a *variable*
- expr**: placeholder for a sequence of tokens forming a *syntactically* and *semantically* valid C/C++ *expression*

Classes organization



Parsing

From spec. to matching

Every concrete `node` implements the `bool match(clang::Preprocessor& p)` method.

```
bool concat::match(clang::Preprocessor& PP) {
    PP.EnableBacktrackAtThisPos();
    if (lhs.match(PP) && rhs.match(PP)) {
        PP.CommitBacktrackedTokens();
        return true;
    }
    PP.Backtrack();
    return false;
}
```

```
bool choice::match(clang::Preprocessor& PP) {
    PP.EnableBacktrackAtThisPos();
    if (lhs.match(PP)) {
        PP.CommitBacktrackedTokens();
        return true;
    }
    PP.Backtrack();
    PP.EnableBacktrackAtThisPos();
    if (rhs.match(PP)) {
        PP.CommitBacktrackedTokens();
        return true;
    }
    PP.Backtrack();
    return false;
}
```

From spec. to matching

Implements a **top-down recursive descent parser** with **backtracking**

- Not particularly efficient, but practical for small DSLs

From spec. to matching

Implements a **top-down recursive descent parser** with **backtracking**

- Not particularly efficient, but practical for small DSLs

```
auto var_list =
    l_paren >> var >> *(comma >> var) >> r_paren;
auto for_clause = (
    ( kwd("first_private") >> var_list )
  | ( kwd("last_private") >> var_list )
  | ( kwd("collapse") >> l_paren >> expr >> r_paren )
  |   kwd("nowait")
  | ...
);
auto omp_for = Tok<tok::kw_for>() >> *for_clause >> eod;
```

Hack for expr parsing

We don't want to write the grammar for C expressions, the `clang::Parser` already does it for free!

Why not expose the `clang::Parser` instance?

Hack for expr parsing

We don't want to write the grammar for C expressions, the `clang::Parser` already does it for free!

Why not expose the `clang::Parser` instance?

```
struct ParserProxy {
    clang::Parser* mParser;
    ParserProxy(clang::Parser* parser): mParser(parser) { }
public:
    clang::Expr* ParseExpression(clang::Preprocessor& PP);
    clang::Token& ConsumeToken();
    clang::Token& CurrentToken();
    ...
};
```

ParserProxy is declared as a **friend** class of `clang::Parser` (via patch)

Extracting Information

Extract useful information

Within pragmas, some information is **not semantically relevant** (e.g. punctuation)

For example in the pragma:

```
#pragma omp for private(a,b) schedule(static)
...
```

We are interested in the fact that:

1. This is an OpenMP “for” pragma
2. Variables a and b must be “private”
3. Scheduling is “static”

No interest in: , ()

The MatchMap object

A generic object which stores any relevant information:

```
class MatchMap: std::map<string,  
    std::vector<  
        llvm::PointerUnion<clang::Expr*, string*>  
    >> { ... };
```

MatchMap layout for the previous example:

- "*for*" → { }
- "*private*" → { *a*, *b* }
- "*schedule*" → { "*static*" }

The map is filled while parsing a pragma

Control over mapping

Two operators used within the pragma specification:

`a["key"]`: All tokens matched by `a` will be referenced by `key` in the `MatchMap`

`~a`: None of the tokens matched by `a` will be stored in the `MatchMap`

Control over mapping

Two operators used within the pragma specification:

`a["key"]`: All tokens matched by `a` will be referenced by `key` in the `MatchMap`

`~a`: None of the tokens matched by `a` will be stored in the `MatchMap`

```
auto var_list =
    ~l_paren >> var >> *(~comma >> var) >> ~r_paren;
auto for_clause = (
    ( kwd("first_private") >> var_list["first_private"] )
    | ( kwd("last_private") >> var_list["last_private"] )
    | ...
);
```

Pragma \rightarrow Stmt

Pragma to stmt association

Hack in `clang::Sema`, works for any new pragma!

- *Correctly* parsed pragmas are stored in a list of *pending* pragmas
- When either a `CompoundStmt`, `IfStmt`, `ForStmt`, `Declarator` or a `FunctionDef` is reduced by `Sema` => an algorithm checks for *association* with pending pragmas **based on source locations**.
 - ▶ Faster than performing *a-posteriori* traversal of the AST
- For positional pragmas (e.g. `omp barrier`) NOPs are inserted in the AST

Framework interface (1/2)

```
struct OmpPragmaCritical: public Pragma {
    OmpPragmaCritical(
        const SourceLocation& startLoc,
        const SourceLocation& endLoc,
        const MatchMap& mmap) { }
    Stmt const* getStatement() const; // derived from Pragma
    Decl const* getDecl() const;     // derived from Pragma
    ...
};
```

Framework interface (1/2)

```
struct OmpPragmaCritical: public Pragma {
    OmpPragmaCritical(
        const SourceLocation& startLoc,
        const SourceLocation& endLoc,
        const MatchMap& mmap) { }
    Stmt const* getStatement() const; // derived from Pragma
    Decl const* getDecl() const;     // derived from Pragma
    ...
};

PragmaNamespace* omp = new clang::PragmaNamespace("omp");
pp.AddPragmaHandler(omp);
// #pragma omp critical [(name)] new-line
omp->AddPragma(
    PragmaFactory::CreateHandler<OmpPragmaCritical>(
        pp.getIdentifierInfo("critical"),
        !(l_paren >> identifier["critical"] >> r_paren) >> eod )
);
```


Framework interface (2/2)

```
MyDriver drv; // instantiates the compiler and registers pragma handlers
TranslationUnit& tu = drv.loadTU( "omp_critical.c" );

const PragmaList& pl = tu.getPragmaList();
const ClangCompiler& comp = tu.getCompiler(); // contains ASTContext

EXPECT_EQ(pl.size(), 4u);
// first pragma is at location [(4:2) - (4:22)]
PragmaPtr p = pl[0];
{
    CHECK_LOCATION(p->getStartLocation(), comp.getSourceManager(), 4, 2);
    CHECK_LOCATION(p->getEndLocation(), comp.getSourceManager(), 4, 22);

    EXPECT_EQ(p->getType(), "omp::critical");
    EXPECT_TRUE(p->isStatement()) << "Pragma is associated with a Stmt";
    const clang::Stmt* stmt = p->getStatement();

    // check the is an omp::critical
    omp::OmpPragmaCritical* omp = dynamic_cast<omp::OmpPragmaCritical*>(p.get());
    EXPECT_TRUE(omp) << "Pragma should be omp::critical";
}
```

Some performance numbers

Used framework to encode the OpenMP 3.0 standard

Total **frontend time** for some of the OpenMP NAS Parallel Benchmarks:

Bench.	# Pragmas	w/o OpenMP	w OpenMP
BT	58	45 msec	48 msec
MG	29	36 msec	39 msec
LU	39	47 msec	54 msec

Summary

Showed an idea for *easy custom* pragmas in Clang!

The framework code (+Clang 3.2 patches) available at:

<https://github.com/motonacciu/clomp>

Not integrated into Clang... yet:

- Little time to invest (to change in the near future)
- Requires some restructuring (use of attributes?)
- Level of **interest** shown by the LLVM/Clang community

La Fin!

Questions?

Want to contribute?

<https://github.com/motonacciu/clomp>