GVN-Hoist: Hoisting Computations from Branches

Sebastian Pop and Aditya Kumar

SARC: Samsung Austin R&D Center

November 3, 2016

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

CFGSimplify's code hoisting

- hoists computations at the beginning of BB
- uses operands equality to detect same computations
- stops at first difference
- ▶ very fast: disabling it slows the compiler: $1688 \rightarrow 1692$ Bn insns (callgrind compiling the test-suite on x86_64-linux)

CFGSimplify limits

Original program

```
i = 1/d;
if (i >= 0) {
    u = a * i;
    v = b * i;
} else {
    u = b * i;
    v = a * i;
}
```

CFGSimplify limits

Original program

↓ □ ▶ ↓ ● ▶ ↓ ■ ▶ ↓ ■ ♪ ↓ ■ ♡ へ ○ 3/16

GVN-Hoist: Hoisting Computations from Branches

- removes all limitations of CFGSimplify implementation
- works across several BBs: hoists to a common dominator

<□ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ のQで 4/16

- hoist past ld/st side effects: uses Memory-SSA for fast dependence analysis
- reduces code size
- reduces critical path length by exposing more ILP

Optimistic GVN-hoist Algorithm

- 1. compute value number of scalars, loads, stores, calls
- 2. compute insertion points of each type of instructions
- 3. hoist expressions and propagate changes by updating SSA

GVN: Value Numbering Example and Limitations

< □ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ の Q · 6/16

Simple program

a = x + y b = x + 1 c = y + 1 d = b + c e = a + 2 f = load dg = load e

GVN: Value Numbering Example and Limitations

Simple program		Value Numbering
a = x + y b = x + 1 c = y + 1 d = b + c	\rightarrow	(a, 1) (b, 2) (c, 3) (d, 4)
e = a + 2		(e, 4)
f = load d		
g = load e		

< □ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ の < ⊙ 6/16

GVN: Value Numbering Example and Limitations

Simple program	Value Numbering
a = x + y $b = x + 1 \longrightarrow$ c = y + 1 d = b + c e = a + 2 f = load d	(a, 1) (b, 2) (c, 3) (d, 4) (e, 4)
g = load e	Limitations to current GVN implementation
	(f, 5) (g, 6) // should be (g, 5)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ の < ⊙ 6/16

GVN-Hoist Step 1: Collect Value Numbers

scalars: use the existing GVN infrastructure

current GVN not accurate for loads and stores: use ad-hoc change

- Ioads: VN the gep
- stores: VN the gep and stored value
- calls: as stores, loads, or scalars (following calls' side-effects)

GVN-Hoist Step 2: Compute Insertion Points

insertion point: location where all the operands are available

- compute a common insertion point for a set of instructions having the same GVN (similar to VBEs but not as strict)
- partition the candidates into a smaller set of hoistable candidates when no common insertion points can be found

GVN-Hoist Step 3: Move the Code

- scalars: just move one of the instructions to the hoisting point and remove others; update SSA
- loads and stores: make geps available, then hoist; update SSA and Memory-SSA

Cost models

tuned on x86_64 and AArch64 Linux: test-suite, SPEC 2k, 2k6, ...

- limit the number of basic blocks in the path between initial position and the hoisting point
- Iimit the number of instructions between the initial position and the beginning of its basic block
- do not hoist GEPs (except at -Os)
- limit the number of dependent instructions to be hoisted

Knobs

- -enable-gvn-hoist: enable the GVN-hoist pass (default = on)
- -Os, -Oz: allow GEPs to be hoisted independently of Id/st
- -gvn-hoist-max-bbs: max number of basic blocks on the path between hoisting locations (default = 4, unlimited = -1)
- -gvn-hoist-max-depth: hoist instructions from the beginning of the BB up to the maximum specified depth (default = 100, unlimited = -1)
- -gvn-hoist-max-chain-length: maximum length of dependent chains to hoist (default = 10, unlimited = -1)
- -gvn-max-hoisted: max number of instructions to hoist (default unlimited = -1)

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

GVN-Hoist: Evaluation

- ▶ < 1% compile time overhead: $1678 \rightarrow 1692$ Bn insns (callgrind compiling the test-suite at -O3 on x86_64-linux)
- ► more hoists than CFG-simplify: 15048 → 25318 (compiling the test-suite for x86_64 at -O3)

Scalars hoisted	8960
Scalars removed	11940
Loads hoisted	16301
Loads removed	22690
Stores hoisted	50
Stores removed	50
Calls hoisted	7
Calls removed	7
Total Instructions hoisted	25318
Total Instructions removed	34687

Code size reduction

Code-size metric (.text)	Number
Total benchmarks	497
Total gained in size	39
Total decrease in size	58
Median decrease in size	2.9%
Median increase in size	2.4%

<□ ▶ < □ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ ■ 9 Q (~ 13/16)

- test-suite compiled at -O3 for x86_64-linux
- increase in size due to more inlining
- many effects due to early scheduling of the pass

Discussion

- schedule GVN-hoist pass several times?
- remove CFGSimplify's hoisting?
- hoist + sink interactions (discuss with James Molloy)
- early scheduling in opt needs tuning with target info?
- make GVN-hoist more aggressive for -Os and -Oz?
- need a better GVN implementation?
- Memory-SSA is easy to use and fast: so please use it! (thanks Danny, Georges, and others)

<ロト < 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト 三 の へ で 14/16