LLVM performance optimization for z Systems

Dr. Ulrich Weigand Senior Technical Staff Member GNU/Linux Compilers & Toolchain

Date: Mar 27, 2017





Agenda

- LLVM on z Systems Performance History
- Instruction-Set Architecture (ISA) Optimization
- Processor Micro-Architecture Optimization
- Outlook



Performance History



LLVM on z Systems – performance history



Back-end changes: overview

• LLVM 3.3 – Initial release

- No focus on performance; z10 only

LLVM 3.4 – ISA exploitation

- Significantly improved z10 code generation; initial z196 & zEC12 support

• LLVM 3.5 – Further ISA exploitation

- More z196 & zEC12 instructions exploited

- LLVM 3.6 No performance-related changes
- LLVM 3.7 z13 vector ISA support
- LLVM 3.8 Only minor performance-related changes
 - Small improvements to floating-point code generation
- LLVM 3.9 Misc. code-gen changes / start of micro-arch tuning

- Avoid false FPR dependencies, conditional sibcall/return, FP test data class

LLVM 4.0 – Focus on micro-architecture tuning

- Post-RA scheduler, tune loop unrolling / strength reduction, tune load-on-condition



Instruction-Set Architecture Optimizations



z Systems instruction-set architecture overview

- z/Architecture publicly documented by IBM
 - z/Architecture Principles of Operation (SA22-7832-10)
- Successor to prior architectures going back to 1960s
 - System/360
 - System/370
 - System/370 extended architecture (370-XA)
 - Enterprise Systems Architecture/370 (ESA/370)
 - Enterprise Systems Architecture/390 (ESA/390)
- Updated for each new processor generation
 - Eighth Edition: z10
 - Ninth Edition: z196
 - Tenth Edition: zEC12
 - Eleventh Edition: z13



z/Architecture overview

Register file

- 16 64-bit general-purpose register
- 16 64-bit floating-point registers
- -32 128-bit vector registers (overlapping FPRs)
- 16 32-bit access registers
- Program Status Word (incl. PC and condition code)

Instruction set

- ->1000 basic instructions, >2000 extended mnemonics
- CISC operations (reg/reg, reg/mem, mem/mem, ...)
- IEEE floating-point, decimal FP, hexadecimal FP
- Vector general, integer, floating-point, string instructions

z/Architecture: high-word register operations

- 64-bit GPRs treated as two independent 32-bit parts
 - Intended to provide register relief (32 "registers" for many operations)

• For example, to add an immediate:

- AGFI %r2, 1 \rightarrow add 1 to full 64-bit register (64-bit ISA)
- -AFI %r2, 1 \rightarrow add 1 to low 32-bit part (legacy 32-bit ISA)
- AIH %r2, 1 \rightarrow add 1 to high 32-bit part (high-word facility)

Modeled as sub-registers in LLVM

- GR64 \rightarrow 64-bit GPRs
- GR32 \rightarrow 32-bit lower half GPRs
- GRH32 \rightarrow 32-bit upper half GPRs
- GRX32 \rightarrow union of GR32 and GRH32
 - Used in ISEL for operations supported on both halves
 - Post-RA expander selects final instruction depending on register
 - AFIMux (GRX32) pseudo \rightarrow AFI or AIH

z/Architecture: high-word register operations

• Difficult to implement: instructions with two registers

- E.g. COMPARE could be modeled as CMux (GRX32, GRX32)
- After register allocation, all four combinations possible
- But ISA only has instructions for three of them:
 - $\bullet \text{ Low/Low} \to \text{CR}$
 - High/Low \rightarrow CHLR
 - High/High \rightarrow CHHR
- Special handling for Low/High case required
 - May be convertible to High/Low by updating all users
 - Otherwise 2-3 instruction sequence involving rotates

• Even more difficult: ADD with three register operands

- Only 3 combinations supported in ISA: LLL, HHL, HHH
- Some cases would require up to 4 instructions to emulate

• Should ideally be handled in RA directly (like GCC "alternatives")

- But LLVM RA deliberately makes no instruction selection choices ...

z/Architecture: conditional instructions

Condition code – two bits in the PSW

- Comparable to flags bits, but used as single value
- Instructions may set any CC value, no fixed semantics
- Branch instructions may test for any CC combination

Instruction examples	CC 0	CC 1	CC 2	CC 3
COMPARE (integer)	Equal	Low	High	-
COMPARE (floating-point)	Equal	Low	High	Unordered
ADD	Zero	< Zero	> Zero	Overflow
ADD LOGICAL	Zero, no carry	Not zero, no carry	Zero, carry	Not zero, carry
AND	Zero	Not zero	-	-
FIND LEFTMOST ONE	No one bit found	-	One bit found	
TEST UNDER MASK LOW	All zeros	Mixed, left bit zero	Mixed, left bit one	All ones
VECTOR COMPARE EQUAL	All elements equal	Some elts. equal	-	No elements equal
CONVERT UTF-8 TO UTF-32	Data processed	Destination full	Invalid UTF-8	Early exit

z/Architecture: more conditional instructions

- Instructions using the condition code
 - -LOAD ON CONDITION
 - Load from memory/register/immediate if CC in mask
 - (Conditional) trap instruction
 - Special form of (conditional) branch with invalid target
- Instructions that do not use the CC
 - COMPARE AND BRANCH / TRAP
 - Compare + conditional branch (or trap) as single insn
 - **BRANCH ON COUNT**
 - Decrement register and branch if not zero
 - -LOAD AND TRAP
 - Load register from memory and trap if zero



LLVM code generation for conditional instructions

LLVM pass	z/Architecture ISA handling
Instruction selection	Select appropriate compare instructions Generate TEST UNDER MASK
Pre-RA pseudos	Generate LOC from selects
Early if-conversion	Generate LOCR from if blocks Speculative execution of both sides
Peephole optimizer	Optimize explicit uses of CC (e.g. builtins) Generate LOCHI for immediates
Post-RA pseudos (including z specific pass)	Select low/high instructions Expand mixed LOCRMux cases
Late if-conversion	Detect conditional trap, conditional return, conditional sibling call
Optimize comparison against zero (z specific pass)	Detect branch-on-count, load-and-trap Convert load to load-and-test Update CC users with CC mask for other insn
Fuse compare operations (z specific pass)	Detect compare-and-branch, compare-and-trap, compare-and-return, compare-and-sibcall



Processor Micro-Architecture Optimizations



z13 processor micro-architecture overview

- Full micro-architecture is not publicly documented
- Overview targeted at compiler developers here:
 - IBM z Systems Processor Optimization Primer





z13 high-level instruction & execution flow



z13 execution engine pipelines

Only 1 of 2 issue sides shown

- Typical pipeline depths and bypass capabilities shown
- Some instructions may take longer to execute or bypass results
- Access registers not shown

ACC – GR access WB – GR write back

V-ACC – FPR/VR access VWB – FPR/VR write back

CC - condition code calculation

BYP - data bypass network cycle

FPD, DFU – functions, e.g. divide, square-root, may take multiple passes through the pipeline

G2F – GR to VR/FPR moves F2G – VR/FPR to GR moves



Instruction scheduling

Goals of scheduling for z13

- No exact modeling of OOO execution phase possible
 - But: execution latencies still determine critical path length
- Optimize decoder grouping
 - Sequence instructions so that decoder groups can be as large as possible (3 instructions) to optimize dispatch bandwith
- Resource balancing
 - Sequence instructions so that over time, usage of the various execution units is as evenly balanced as possible
- FPd side steering
 - Distribute long-running instructions (e.g. FP divide) evenly over both execution pipeline sides
- FXU side steering
 - Distribute dependent instructions to the same side to enable result bypassing with reduced latency



Instruction scheduling

Current LLVM implementation

- Post-RA scheduler as very last MI pass
 - Using new SchedStrategy and HazardRecognizer
- Decoder grouping, resource balancing, FPd steering
- FXU steering not yet implemented due to regressions

Future opportunities

- Pre-RA MI scheduler
 - "Mix up" register usage to get more freedom post-RA – Better decoder grouping; better FXU side steering; ...
 - But must be careful to not cause spilling!
 - No implementation without regressions so far ...
 - Area of active research in compiler theory
- Global scheduling across block boundaries?

Tuning code generation

Caveat: performance results hard to predict

- Positive effects often dominated by negative second-order issues
- E.g. increasing use of branch-on-count caused overall performance regression
- Important goal: tune to avoid "second-order" effects

Loop unrolling

- Important to eliminate small loops which are sensitive
 - Loops should preferably be >12 instructions
- Enables "everything" to get rid of small loops, including forced unrolling
- But: limit on number of stores to avoid running out of store tags

Loop strength reduction

- z13 supports only 12-bit unsigned offsets for vector memory accesses
- Scalar code uses 20-bit signed offsets \rightarrow try to avoid regressions in vectorizer
- New hook isFoldableMemAccessOffset() to handle this

WIP: Cost functions to tune vectorizer decisions



Outlook



Status and outlook

Aside: non-performance related work in 2016

- Profile-directed feedback support (compiler-rt)
- Support for address sanitizer (via BountySource)
- Added LLDB support
- Swift & Rust enablement

Future work

- Support next-generation z Systems processor
 - Twelfth Edition of the z/Architecture
 - GCC and binutils support already available
- Improved scheduling / micro-architecture tuning
- Ongoing benchmark analysis efforts



LLVM on z Systems – optimization opportunities





© 2017 IBM Corporation

LLVM 4.0 vs. GCC pre-7.0 (Mar 2017)

Questions



