

Hi! I am Mikhail Goncharov, and I work at Google in Munich on automating our internal Clang releases. This talk is a short overview of pre-merge checks we first introduced about a year ago, in the end of 2019.

## Why?

up to 20% of commits in master branch of llvm-projects don't build or pass tests (\*)

Broken master branch is not good for:

- users
- contributors
- tools

(\*) YMMW, see slide notes

Let me show you some data. I built and tested the LLVM monorepo on a single platform at the sample of 400 out of 3000 recent commits selected randomly from the master branch. Around 20% of them failed tests, and some even failed to compile. This is a real problem for us as users and contributors of LLVM.

Long ranges of commits that fail to build can also be an obstacle to bisecting more subtle issues.

I think that most of us would prefer to see that the change is breaking before it is pushed to the repository. Not only this helps users, but also saves other contributors from starting to work on a "bad" commit.

-----

Such high failure rate is surprising for me too. Probably there were some issues with the setup of an agent that run builds. Or this number might be explained by test flakiness. I have not looked into the exact failures' details. By no means it represents what actual user experiences day to day as I have not taken in account that 1. failures might be from different sub-projects while a person might be interested in a single one 2. there is no checks how long a commit was active (e.g. one that was reverted within 1 minute has the same weight as one that stayed HEAD for an hour).

2

Builds were run on on a random subsets of commits from 3000 latest commits as of today (2020-09-04) master branch <u>https://github.com/llvm/llvm-project</u> from categories (plus sample size and failure rate):

"reviewed" (has "Differential revision:" in the message): 200 commits, 19% failure rate "reviewed NFC" ("reviewed" and has "NFC" in the message): 50 commits, 18% failure rate "not reviewed" (does not have "Differential revision:" in the message): 200 commits, 20% failure rate "not reviewed NFC" ("not reviewed" and has "NFC" in the message): 50 commits, 22% failure rate "revert" ("revert" or "this reverts commit" in the message and "not reviewed"): 50 commits, 14% failure rate "fix" ("fix" in the message): 50 commits, 20% failure rate Run commands: git clone https://github.com/llvm/llvm-project.git llvm-project cd llvm-project git checkout <command> rm -rf build mkdir build cd build export CC="clang" export CXX="clang++" export LD="LLD" cmake ../Ilvm -D LLVM ENABLE PROJECTS="clang;clang-tools-extra;libc;libcxx;libcxxabi;lld;libunwin d;mlir;openmp;polly" -G Ninja -D CMAKE BUILD TYPE=Release -D LLVM ENABLE ASSERTIONS=ON -D LLVM BUILD EXAMPLES=ON -D LLVM LIT ARGS="-v --xunit-xml-output test-results.xml" -D LLVM ENABLE LLD=ON -D CMAKE CXX FLAGS=-gmlt ninja all ninja check-all

Docker image used:

https://github.com/google/llvm-premerge-checks/blob/dd4e6210bb21c53fb71f472a74 e92af7c1c1596c/containers/buildkite-premerge-debian/Dockerfile



Pre-merge checks are a simple idea: before pushing a commit, build the software and run its tests. This practice is well-known in the industry and is used often.

We have implemented pre-merge checks for LLVM. Buildkite orchestrates the builds, Google Cloud runs x86\_64 Linux and Windows builder VMs, and Phabricator displays the results.

Build tried to detect which projects are affected by the change and also runs clang-format and clang-tidy on it.

Note that buildbots are different from pre-merge checks: buildbots run checks on changes that have been already pushed.

Image: https://xkcd.com/303/

Dentedo		1	offic Manufactures		
	Rentement	A	terine 104 Insertiaal Raw (104 21 Teratur Revision)		
B 1244	NAME or right public and TEV, programs loss might imports after t imports. TEV public diseas charge former to control to of sould imports.		de Rosseel Objects, Marches Rass North Laters Real Tales Reg Tor Later		
Diff Deta	all	14			
			lang.		
	Repository rG LLVM Github Monorepo Build Status O Buildable 20256 O Build 91061: pre-merge checks 5 tests failed (windows) - 2 tests failed (linux	0 - Buildkite build			
Unit Teat	Reportory KG LLVM Githud Monompo Build Statu O Buildable 70756 O Build 91061: pre-merge checks 5 tests failed (windows) - 2 tests failed (linux	0 - Buildkite build	ng National Anna		
100 Test	Reportory KG LLVM Cithud Monompo Build Statu   Build Statu  Build 91061; pre-merge checks 5 tests failed (windows) - 2 tests failed (linux	0 - Buildkite build			
5	Repository rG LLVM Cathub Monorepo Build Status   Build 91061: pre-merge checks 5 tests failed (windows) - 2 tests failed (linux	o) - Buildière build	NI Na Inna Ara Aran		
	Reportory K LLVM Cithad Monorepo Build Statu    Build 91061; pre-merge checks 5 tests failed (windows) - 2 tests failed (linux	0 - Buildkite build	NI Na Tana Ara- Ara-		

When you upload a new diff to Phabricator, it is automatically picked up by the pre-merge checking infrastructure. Typically it takes about 30 minutes for the results to appear in Phabricator. Let's see what they look like.

In the case of failure there are links to build or test reports and some comments on the diff. You can always open a Buildkite build report to understand how to reproduce the build locally, and access the full log for build and test commands.

🥠 Buildkite		Log	n Sign Up	Learn More	
LLVM project / premerge checks [Public] builds branch from https://github.com/Ilvm-premerge-tests/Ilvm-project	0	9,570 Builds	3 Running	0 Scheduled	
x <sup>®</sup> build and test Build #9532   phab-diff-290121   <b>O</b> c61ce84			Failed in 34	n 23s	
report ★ ▲ build and test linux ★ 🗮 build and test windows					
premerge bot Triggered from Pipeline Created yesterday at 6:52 AM diff checks - Build #10942 / xF build and test					
✓ ጟ setup export SRC=\${BUILDKITE_BUILD_PATH}/llvm-premerge-checks && rm -rf \${SRC} && git O Ran	in 11s 🥥	Waited 1s 🔞 lin	ux-agents-5b9	7c56ff-zt9zf-1	
x ∆ build and test linux set -euo pipefail && ccachezero-stats && ccacheshow-config. O Ran in 10	m 45s 🛛	Waited 5s 📦 lin	ux-agents-5b93	7c56ff-zt9zf-1	
x II build and test windows sccachezero-stats && set SRC=NBUILDKITE_BUILD_PATH%/llvm-premerge-checks	s && rm	⊘ Ran in 33m	50s ② Waite	I 5s € w32-1	
✓  report mkdir -p artifacts && buildkite-agent artifact download "*_result.json" . && e ⊘ Ran	in 12s 🛛	Waited 6s 📦 lin	ux-agents-5b9	7c56ff-zt9zf-1	



Log Artifacts 6 Timeline New Environment	C Retry	
ninja-check-all.log text/plain	5.91 MB <b>d</b> c734c451dfc4ff80eaf35a652a937fded5effb1b	ũ
artifacts/ninja-all.log text/plain	584 KB 10 9de570ee9708034716a0003ec5c48d0de2003a44	ŵ
artifacts/packages.txt text/plain	42.7 KB 1 3ff62f7443f5f696f75ba972122886e2b7a7eced	0
artifacts/ninja-check-all.log text/plain	5.91 MB t c734c451dfc4ff80eaf35a652a937fded5effb1b	ů
artifacts/CMakeCache.txt text/plain	99 KB <b>tf</b> 2027b7541dc29fc29faf34e33be5ff842914d6c6	ŵ
linux_result.json application/json	11.9KB <b>u</b> 83a7e32ff13b2e14ca4858ad1c3106201fe1c862	ŵ
8 Kaling and heat animalous scenariopara-static 44 per 100-000312002	tt, Juli 2., Juli 2., Juli 2., Juli 2., promor po checks 44 rm $_{\circ}$ = 0. Rac in 32m Mix. O Hadrad in $\otimes$ will	

## Stats

- 700 revisions weekly
- ~5% of revisions got fails check at first and get fixed

The pre-merge infrastructure completes around 700 builds weekly. Around 5% of revisions fail pre-merge checks at first and get fixed afterwards. Maybe, because reviewers or the author looked at the build result.



Only 40% revisions pass pre-merge checks. 15% fail because patch cannot be applied, 10% does not build. Last 35% fail tests.



Yes, 60% fail rate seems to be unreasonably high.

Next goal is to provide a much more clear signal if a change is good or not. There are a lot of ideas and existing issues.

One of the major ones is that some sub projects are completely disabled as their tests constantly fail or time out.



f you like the idea behind the project and want to improve it please help and contribute! It definitely needs more hands.

For example you see that you build failed but should not, please flag that; and maybe help investigate the root cause. Or maybe some wording can be improved.

Also if you want to add some specific checks for your subproject.

We are trying to keep the project as open as possible, all scripts and configurations are in this GitHub repo.

Please reach me on LLVM discord, GitHub, or email.

Thank you and have a green build!