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C++ Memory Safety

More than 50% of High severity bugs in Android are memory corruption.

Not only security: debugging memory corruption bugs is hard. AddressSanitizer (ASan and HWASan) helps, but:

- Requires recompilation.
- Slow.
- Can be bypassed (not a security mitigation).
What is the Memory Tagging Extension?

- Optional extension in ARMv9, announced Aug 2018.
- AArch64 only, introduces 2 types of tags:
  - Logical Address Tag - bits 56..59 of the virtual address.
  - Allocation Tag - 4 bits for every 16 bytes of memory, stored separately.
- Load / Store instructions raise an exception if tags differ.
- New instructions to manipulate tags.
- Two modes:
  - Synchronous - process dies immediately with SEGV_MTESERR.
    - hoping for < 20% slowdown (*)
  - Asynchronous - process dies with SEGV_MTEAERR at the nearest context switch.
    - hoping for < 5% slowdown (*)
    - Does not provide fault PC or data address.

*All performance numbers are estimates.*
How to use it?

- **Protect heap**
  - Randomly tag pointer + memory on allocation
  - Randomly tag memory on deallocation
  - Catches use-after-free, heap-buffer-overflow, double-free with 93% probability

- **Protect stack**
  - Randomly tag local variables when entering function or scope.
  - Tag local variables to tag(SP) when leaving function or scope.
  - Catches use-after-return, use-after-scope, stack-buffer-overflow with 93% probability

- **Protect globals**
  - Randomly tag global variables at load time
  - Apply tags to GOT pointers
  - Apply pointer tag when taking address of a local, non-GOT symbol
  - Catches global-buffer-overflow with 93% probability.
Heap tagging example

char *p = new char[20]; // 0xa0000xxxxxxxxxxx

delete[] p; // 0xa0000xxxxxxxxxxx

p[0] = ...; // CRASH

p[32] = ...; // CRASH
Heap tagging

Implemented in Scudo (default system allocator in Android 11).

Bump minimum alignment to 16.

Malloc from mmap: choose a random tag, apply to the pointer and memory.

Free: choose a random tag, apply to memory.

Malloc (memory reuse): load tag from memory, apply to the pointer.

Special cases: memory released to OS loses tag data; size change (within one size class) requires memory tag fixup.
Heap Tagging: implementation details

Zero-tagged chunk header and optional right redzone.

Never reuse the same tag on free.

Spatial vs temporal protection trade-off: odd-even tags in adjacent chunks.

(+): 100% detection of overflows of up to the entire allocation size

(-): 87% detection of use-after-free (down from 93%).
Heap tagging: large allocations

Large allocations that are not used immediately, or used sparsely, are expensive to tag up front. Two options:

- Do not tag. Surround with guard pages and never reuse VA (infinite quarantine).
- Use a copy-on-write reference page with a non-zero tag (https://lwn.net/Articles/828828)
Heap tagging: crash reporting

Synchronous mode faults provide PC, data address and register contents. This can be used to implement a lightweight AddressSanitizer-like tool.

A fixed-size ring buffer to store recent alloc/dealloc stack traces. FP-based unwinding.

__scudo_malloc_set_track_allocation_stacks()
__scudo_get_error_info()

- Provides up to 3 "culprit" alloc/dealloc pairs with the matching address & tag.
## Stack tagging

```c
void f() {
    int x = 42;
    use(&x);
}
```

```assembly
str  x30, [sp, #-16]!
mov  w8, #42
add  x0, sp, #12
str  w8, [sp, #12]
bl   use

ldr  x30, [sp], #16
ret
```

```assembly
sub  sp, sp, #32
str  x30, [sp, #16]
irg  x0, sp
mov  w8, #42
stgp x8, xzr, [x0]
bl   use
stg  sp, [sp], #16
ldr  x30, [sp], #16
ret
```

```bash
clang -fsanitize=memtag -march=armv8+memtag
```
Assigning an independently random tag to each variable requires an extra live register per variable. This does not scale.

A tagged base pointer allows addressing variables with (addr offset, tag offset).

```c
void f() {
    int a, b, c;
    use(&a);
    use(&b);
    use(&c);
}
```

```assembly
  add   x0, sp, #12
  bl    use
  add   x0, sp, #8
  bl    use
  add   x0, sp, #4
  bl    use
  irg   x19, sp
  addg  x0, x19, #32, #2
  bl    use
  addg  x0, x19, #16, #1
  bl    use
  mov   x0, x19
  bl    use
```
### Tagged stack layout

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>previous frames</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sp</td>
<td></td>
<td>spills</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sp</td>
<td></td>
<td>untagged locals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>tbp+1</strong></td>
<td><strong>tagged</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sp</td>
<td></td>
<td>untagged locals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>tbp+2</strong></td>
<td><strong>tagged</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>tbp</strong></td>
<td><strong>tagged</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sp</td>
<td></td>
<td>unallocated stack space</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **SP**, **TBP**, **FP**
- Stack grows down
- Stack unwinding
Stack tagging: optimizations

- Load/Store of [SP+#imm] are unchecked by hardware => no need to materialize a tagged address.
- ST2G sets memory tags 32 bytes at a time => group allocas that leave scope simultaneously, rewrite STG + STG to ST2G.
- Set tag and data simultaneously:

```c
struct A {
    long a, b, c, d;
};
long f() {
    A a{0, 0, 42, (long)&a};
    use(&a);
    return a.b;
}
```

```assembly
irg   x0, sp
mov   w8, #42
stzg  x0, [x0]
stgp  x8, x0, [x0, #16]
bl    use
ldr   x0, [sp, #8]
st2g  sp, [sp], #32
```
Stack Safety Analysis

Many stack allocations, even address-taken, are trivially safe and do not need protection.

StackSafetyAnalysis finds (min, max) range of offsets that provably covers all memory access of an alloca.

- Conservative: returns full-set if alloca escapes or may be used outside its lifetime.
- Interprocedural, with Thin LTO support.
- Context-insensitive.
void write4(char *p) {
    memset(p, 0, 4);
}

void write8(char *p) {
    write4(p);
    write4(p + 4);
}

char func() {
    char x[8];
    write8(x);
    return x[2];
}
void write4(char *p) {
    memset(p, 0, 4);
}

void write8(char *p) {
    write4(p);
    write4(p + 4);
}

char func() {
    char x[8];
    write8(x);
    return x[2];
}
void write4(char *p) {
    memset(p, 0, 4);
}

void write8(char *p) {
    write4(p);
    write4(p + 4);
}

char func() {
    char x[8];
    write8(x);
    return x[2];
}
Stack Safety: local analysis

```c
void write4(char *p) {
    memset(p, 0, 4);
}

void write8(char *p) {
    write4(p);
    write4(p + 4);
}

char func() {
    char x[8];
    write8(x);
    return x[2];
}
```

```
write8(char* p)
p: [0, 8)

func()
x: [0, 8)
write8(x + [0, 1))
```
Stack Safety

Runs until fixed point.

Unbounded recursion? Relax offset ranges to full-set after a number of steps.

Using Chromium as a benchmark:

- 25% allocas proven safe in separate compilation
- 60% allocas proven safe with LTO
Globals Tagging

- **Dynamic symbols** (int f; extern int f;)
  - Mark dynamic symbol table with st_other.STO_TAGGED
  - Teach the loader to read entire symbol table at startup and assign memory tags.

- **Local symbols** (static int g; or -fvisibility=hidden)
  - Create a segment containing { &global, sizeof(global) } pairs for each global. Place this table's address in the .dynamic section under a new tag DT_MTEGLOBTAB.
  - Teach the loader to read this table and assign a random memory tag to each global.
  - Address-taken sequences (&g) insert the tag via `ldg`.

- **All globals**:
  - Realign to granule size (16 bytes), resize to multiple of granule size (e.g. 40B -> 48B).
  - Ensure non-executable segments are mapped MAP_ANONYMOUS and PROT_MTE (file-based mappings aren't necessarily backed by tag-capable memory)
  - Ban data folding (except where contents and size are same, no tail merging)
Globals Tagging (Relocations)

- GLOB_DAT, ABS64 need to insert memory tag into relocated value (via `ldg`).
  - `dlsym()` needs to do the same thing.
- RELATIVE relocations need to append memory tag, but...

```c
static int array[] = { 1, 2, 3, 4 };
// array_end must have the same tag as array[]. array_end is out of
// bounds w.r.t. array, and may point to a completely different global.
int *array_end = &array[4];
```

- Introduce RELATIVE_TAGGED
  - Place (*r_offset) stores where the tag should be derived from
  - Addend (r_addend) contains the untagged value to be relocated.
  - XOR the addend and the tag to get the tagged value, and store that in the place.
  - Zero addend means tag is derived from the place, and can be RELR-style compressed.
Android

Experimental implementation available in AOSP(*) now.

- Async heap tagging in the system apps on by default.
- User apps can opt-in via manifest.
- An API to enable Sync mode and allocator debugging features.
- Stack + globals tagging requires incompatible code instrumentation.
  - Can be shipped in non-updatable platform binaries only.
  - Can be used for local debugging.
  - In the distant future, a new application ABI will include hardware MTE support.

(*) https://cs.android.com/android/platform/superproject/+/master:device/generic/goldfish/fvpbase/README.md
Thank you for listening!

Questions?