

The Road to Github Actions: Migrating LLVM's CI



College of Engineering University of California, Davis



THE ROAD TO GITHUB ACTIONS: MIGRATING LLVM'S CI

Presented by Aiden Grossman

April 4, 2024

Work performed while employed by LLNL.

RELIABLE CLIS IMPORTANT



- » Fast, reliable feedback is extremely helpful for ensuring the relevant functionality works and for improving code quality.
- » A lack of reliability, or even just a poor perception of reliability, drastically reduces trust in the CI system.
- » Trust in the CI system is almost as important as the system working correctly.

WHAT EXISTS CURRENTLY?



- » Code formatting checks (implemented by Tobias Hieta).
- » Full tests for the release branches (implemented by Tom Stellard).
- » Pipelines for testing specifics subprojects, like SPIRV and the libclang python bindings.
- » Documentation build tests.





LESSONS LEARNED



- » Testing is hard.
 - 1. The testing story for Github Actions is not particularly good.
 - 2. Automated fully integrated tests are not really possible.
 - 3. Unit tests on components like python scripts are possible with mocking, but not yet implemented.
- » Reliability is even harder.
 - 1. Lots of problems come up at scale that don't come up during testing.
 - 2. Rare issues are often difficult for CI maintainers to find.
 - 3. Rare issues can impact the perceived reliability of the system after they have been fixed!
 - 4. It can be difficult to even find issues as the monorepo runs 1500 workflows per day.

Clang format action picking up diffs from other commits #73873

Oclosed boomanaiden154 opened this issue on Nov 29, 2023 · 2 comments

[Github] Check code formatting sometimes gets stuck on checking out merge base #79661



SECURITY



- » Security is important, especially given LLVM's position in the software ecosystem.
- » There are a lot of security nuances with Github actions.
- » We try our best, but probably aren't perfect.
- » Not a large issue on Github-hosted runners (other than tokens potentially being accessible to untrusted code), but becomes a much larger issue on self-hosted runners.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS - MIGRATING PRECOMMIT



» Benefits

- 1. Much tighter integration with the review tooling, and makes it easier to implement tooling for nice to haves like information rich failure comments.
- Seems to be a much larger portion of the community familiar with Github Actions/interested in working on them.
- » Existing work https://discourse.llvm.org/t/rfc-llvm-precommit-ci-through-github-actions
 - 1. Some issues, designed to fit in constraints that were maybe somewhat artificial.
 - 2. Some progress (fast Linux toolchain), not much else.

[RFC] LLVM Precommit CI through Github Actions

LLVM Project



Jan 22

LLVM already has precommit CI in the form of the Buildkite pipeline that supports both Linux and Windows. However, this pipeline is not well integrated within clithub, and there have sometimes been reliability issues. Moving over to Cithub actions allows for better integration within Cithub, allows for people to more easily hack on the CI pipeline as many more are familiar with Cithub actions over

FINAL TAKEAWAYS



- » Report issues!
- » Reliability seems to be improving over time.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS



Lots of people have already done work on the Github Actions. Here is a small sample:

- » Tom Stellard
- » Tobias Hieta
- » David Spickett

This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344 (LLNL-PRES-862454).