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**Phase Ordering Problem**

**Motivation**
- Modern compilers are equipped with many phase (optimizations) for intermediate representations
- Phase sequences could quickly grow exponentially so compiler must ensure there are no conflicts and are optimally sequenced
- Compiler-Gym is an accessible framework that provides an LLVM compiler, environments (programs), reward, and transition functions

**Dynamic**
- S1 Slow Down
- S2 Speed Up

**Fixed**
- S1 Neutral
- S2

**Challenges**
- Optimizations could be interdependent
- Large search space
- Sequential tasks disproportionately represent data (non-IID)

**Goal**
- Apply DQN and Double-DQN to the phase ordering problem on BLAS and Cbench dataset environments by leveraging Compiler-Gym
- Demonstrate differences between using observation spaces (namely Autophase and InstrCount)
- Generalize Double-DQN to a larger action and observation space
- Reward Function: $R(s_t) = \frac{C(s_{t-1}) - C(s_t)}{C(s_t = 0) - C(s_b)}$

**Reinforcement Learning Algorithms**
- Double-DQN: addresses overestimation bias from DQN, resulting in a more stable performance – especially in high-action spaces
- PPO: policy-gradient, on-policy method that uses a clipped-surrogate objective function to limit policy updates

**Setup**
- 124-dimension action space
- Observations are Autophase (56-dimension) feature vector for static IR states or LLVM-IR (70-dimension) feature vector
- 3-layer MLP; each layer contains 512 neurons

**Results**

**Take-aways**
- In certain programs, Double-DQN performs on-par with PPO and DQN with a large action and observation space (the plot above has Double DQN trained on 124-dim action space vs 14-dim)
- An alternative approach to phase-ordering problem

**Future Work**
- Apply Soft-Actor Critic (SAC)
- Experiment with different reward functions and state representations
- Try hierarchical or multi-task RL to increase phase sequence lengths