A data-driven approach to debug info quality Using the Swift frontend as an example

Emil Pedersen – October 24th, 2024 – LLVM Dev Meeting 2024

Introduction **Emil Pedersen**

- Student at Epitech in Paris
- Interned at Apple earlier this year
- This presentation is based on my intern project
- Speaking in a personal capacity

Special thanks to my mentor Adrian Prantl, and the Swift and LLDB teams



Why debug optimized code?

Embedded Programming

Crash Logs

Complex Programs



Optimized code is hard to debug

- Stepping is not always reliable
- Variables are often unavailable lacksquare
- Variables sometimes disappear altogether



Optimized code is hard to debug

- Stepping is not always reliable
 - Variables are often unavailable
 Variables sometimes disappear altogether

This is preventable



Why does this happen? The Swift compiler pipeline





Why does this happen?

- Variables can be lost in translation
- Optimization passes aren't designed to retain debug info for variables
- • SIL optimization passes often disregard variable debug info altogether



Why does this happen?

- Variables can be lost in translation
- Optimization passes aren't designed to retain debug variables
 SIL optimization passes often disregard debug variables altogether

How can we improve optimization passes?



How can we improve optimization passes? Simple approaches

Read optimization passes and find where variables are dropped

Using known examples of lost variables, bisect to find where it is dropped





How can we improve optimization passes? **DExTer: A good tester for a known issue**

- It can help bisecting LLVM passes
- It requires a code sample with a known debugging problem
- It runs the whole pipeline, which is slow



The solution Variable drop statistics

Variable drop statistics





Variable drop statistics Within the optimization pipeline





Variable drop statistics Within the optimization pipeline



What constitutes a variable?

- Same name
- Same scope (including inlining information)
- Same source location



Which Swift code base to use?

Standard Library

Source Compatibility Test Suite

Impacts all code

200+ open source projects





Report results (SIL) Variables dropped by SIL passes, -Ospeed (Top 8)



Number of dropp March 2024

Number of dropped variables in the source compatibility test suite

Some variables should be dropped Variables that can be completely removed

- When a function or scope is unreachable
 - DCE, DeadFunctionElimination
- When a function is marked transparent
 - DeadAllocationElimination

The variable will not appear in the debugger

func buttonPress() { if false { let code = 1exit(code) }



Some variables should be dropped Variable values that must be dropped

- When the variable is unused, or moved
 - SimplifyCFG, Dead Object Elimination
- When a value is folded and not recoverable
 - SILCombine, Constant Propagation

The variable will appear unavailable in the debugger



Some variables shouldn't be dropped Variable values that must NOT be dropped

- When the value changes memory location
 - ➡ SROA, Mem2Reg, PhiExpansion
 - AllocBoxToStack, AllocStackHoisting, LoadableByAddress
- When a constant is folded
 - DiagnosticConstantPropagation



Some variables shouldn't be dropped Variable values that must NOT be dropped

- When the value changes memory location
 - SROA, Mem2Reg, PhiExpansion

AllocBoxToStack, AllocStackHoisting, LoadableByAddress

- When a constant is folded
 - **DiagnosticConstantPropagation**

These SIL passes are run for debug builds



Report results (SIL) Variables dropped by SIL passes, -Onone



AllocBoxToStack

LoadableByAddress

AllocStackHoisting

MandatoryInlining

PredictableDeadAllocationElimination

DiagnosticConstantPropagation

OnoneSimplification

DiagnoseUnreachable

NoReturnFolding

March 2024



8173

Number of dropped variables in the source compatibility test suite (-Onone)



Report after changes (SIL) Variables dropped by SIL passes, -Onone

AllocBoxToStack

LoadableByAddress

AllocStackHoisting

MandatoryInlining

PredictableDeadAllocationElimination

DiagnosticConstantPropagation

OnoneSimplification

DiagnoseUnreachable

NoReturnFolding

Number of dropp Present

Number of dropped variables in the source compatibility test suite (-Onone)





How to find mistakes in the passes?





How to find mistakes in the passes?





Report results (SIL) Variables dropped by SIL passes, -Ospeed (Top 8)



Number of dropp March 2024

SimplifyCFG SILCombine ConstantPropagation DCE EarlySROA PhiExpansion Mem2Reg DeadObjectElimination

Other



Number of dropped variables in the source compatibility test suite





Report after changes (SIL) Variables dropped by SIL passes, -Ospeed (Top 8)

SimplifyCFG	+12%		
SILCombine	-20%		
ConstantPropagation	-96%	142	85
DCE	-64%		1091
EarlySROA	-100%	0	
PhiExpansion	-100%	0	
Mem2Reg	-99%	447	
DeadObjectElimination	-38%	193	66
Other	-46%		86510

Number of dropp Present



Number of dropped variables in the source compatibility test suite





Report after changes (SIL) Variables dropped by SIL passes, -Ospeed (Top 8)



Number of dropp Present

SimplifyCFG SILCombine DCE TempRValueOpt DeadObjectElimination PredictableDeadAllocationElimination

PerformanceConstantPropagation

InitializeStaticGlobals

Other

Number of dropped variables in the source compatibility test suite





Pull Requests For the variable drop statistics

- Swift
 - <u>swiftlang/swift#73334</u>
- LLVM \bullet
 - <u>Ilvm/Ilvm-project#102233</u> (by Shubham Rastogi)



Future Directions

- Merge the patch for LLVM IR
- Add this feature to Machine IR
- Add a similar detection when lowering (IRGen, ISel, etc.)
- Fine-grained statistics for InstCombine, SILCombine, etc.
- Discriminate between the reasons why a variable was dropped



Thank you! **Questions?**





